SOUTH DAKOTA

In Fiscal Year 2017, the state of South Dakota received:
- Division of Adolescent and School Health funds totaling $60,000
- Personal Responsibility Education Program funds totaling $250,000
- Title V State Abstinence Education Program funds totaling $159,943

In Fiscal Year 2017, local entities in South Dakota received:
- Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program funds totaling $749,999
- Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program funds totaling $598,227

SEXUALITY EDUCATION LAW AND POLICY
STATE LAW
South Dakota law (§§ 13-33-1 and 13-33-6.1) does not specifically mention sexuality education; however, public schools must substantially conform to the educational standards established by the state Board of Education. Furthermore, the law requires that “character development instruction” be provided in all schools unless the governing body elects to do otherwise. Character development instruction “impress[es] upon the minds of the students the importance of citizenship, patriotism, honesty, self discipline, self respect, sexual abstinence, respect for the contributions of minority and ethnic groups to the heritage of South Dakota, regard for the elderly, and respect for authority.”

STATE STANDARDS
The South Dakota Health Education Standards, which guide curricula development, do not provide additional guidance on character development instruction and only mention sexuality as potential examples of larger benchmarks, such as describing “short- and long-term effects of risky behavior on health, e.g., alcohol/[fetal alcohol syndrome] (FAS); sexual activity/[sexually transmitted diseases] (STDs); smoking/lung disease” or
analyzing “types of peer pressure which result in risky behaviors, e.g., use of alcohol, other drugs, [or] sexual activity.”

STATE LEGISLATIVE SESSION ACTIVITY
SIECUS tracks all state legislative session activity in our state legislative reports. For more information on bills related to school-based sexuality education that were introduced or passed in 2016, please see the most recent analysis of state legislative activity, SIECUS’ 2016 Sex Ed State Legislative Year-End Report: Top Topics and Takeaways.

YOUTH SEXUAL HEALTH DATA
Young people are more than their health behaviors and outcomes. For those wishing to support the sexual health and wellbeing of young people, it is important to utilize available data in a manner that tracks our progress and pushes policies forward while respecting and supporting the dignity of all young lives.

While data can be a powerful tool to demonstrate the sexuality education and sexual health care needs of young people, it is important to be mindful that these behaviors and outcomes are impacted by systemic inequities present in our society that affect an individual’s sexual health and wellbeing. That is, the context in which a young person’s health behavior and decision-making happens is not reflected in individual data points. Notably, one example demonstrating such inequities are the limitations as to how and what data are currently collected; please be mindful of populations who may not be included in surveys or who may be misrepresented by the data. The data categories and any associated language are taken directly from the respective surveys and are not a representation of SIECUS’ positions or values. For more information regarding SIECUS’ use of data, please read the FY 2017 Executive Summary, A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States.

SOUTH DAKOTA YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY (YRBS) DATA
The following sexual health behavior and outcome data represent some of the most recent information available on the health of young people who attend high schools in South Dakota. Though not perfect—for instance, using broad race and ethnicity categories can often distort and aggregate the experiences of a diverse group of respondents—the YRBS is a critical resource for understanding the health behaviors of young people when used carefully and with an awareness of its limitations. Any missing data points indicate either a lack of enough respondents for a subcategory or the state’s decision not to administer a question on the survey. SIECUS commends the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for conducting decades’ worth of field studies to improve the accuracy and relevancy of the YRBS. Like the CDC, SIECUS underlines that “school and community interventions should focus not only on behaviors but also on the determinants of those behaviors.”

Reported ever having had sexual intercourse
- In 2015, 34.9% of female high school students and 39.4% of male high school students in South Dakota reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 39.2% of female high school students and 43.2% of male high school students nationwide.
• In 2015, 33.8% of white high school students in South Dakota reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 39.9% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13
• In 2015, 2.6% of female high school students and 3.8% of male high school students in South Dakota reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 2.2% of female high school students and 5.6% of male high school students nationwide.

• In 2015, 2.2% of white high school students in South Dakota reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 2.5% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported being currently sexually active
• In 2015, 26.6% of female high school students and 28.1% of male high school students in South Dakota reported being currently sexually active, compared to 29.8% of female high school students and 30.3% of male high school students nationwide.

• In 2015, 25.7% of white high school students in South Dakota reported being currently sexually active, compared to 30.3% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported not using a condom during last sexual intercourse
• In 2015, 38.2% of female high school students and 36.5% of male high school students in South Dakota reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 48% of female high school students and 38.5% of male high school students nationwide.

• In 2015, 37.3% of white high school students in South Dakota reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 43.2% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse
• In 2015, 12.6% of female high school students and 11.9% of male high school students in South Dakota reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 15.2% of female high school students and 12.2% of male high school students nationwide.

• In 2015, 10.1% of white high school students in South Dakota reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 10.4% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during last sexual intercourse
• In 2015, 8.4% of female high school students and 18.1% of male high school students in South Dakota reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse.
intercourse, compared to 16.4% of female high school students and 24.6% of male high school students nationwide.

- In 2015, 13.4% of white high school students in South Dakota reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 19.3% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported never having been tested for HIV
- In 2015, 92.7% of female high school students and 92.7% of male high school students in South Dakota reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 88.9% of female high school students and 90.7% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 94% of white high school students in South Dakota reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 92% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse
- In 2015, 6.1% of female high school students and 4.2% of male high school students in South Dakota reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 10.3% of female high school students and 3.1% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 4.7% of white high school students in South Dakota reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 6% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported experiencing physical dating violence
- In 2015, 9.8% of female high school students and 7.8% of male high school students in South Dakota reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 11.7% of female high school students and 7.4% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 6.1% of white high school students in South Dakota reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 9% of white high school students nationwide.

Reported experiencing sexual dating violence
- In 2015, 10.1% of female high school students and 6.2% of male high school students in South Dakota reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 15.6% of female high school students and 5.4% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 7.1% of white high school students in South Dakota reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 10.1% of white high school students nationwide.

Visit the CDC Youth Online database for additional information on youth risk behaviors.
In 2015, the CDC released the School Health Profiles, which measures school health policies and practices and highlights which health topics were taught in schools across the country. Since the data was collected from self-administered questionnaires completed by schools’ principals and lead health education teachers, the CDC notes that one limitation of the School Health Profiles is bias toward the reporting of more positive policies and practices. In the School Health Profiles, the CDC identifies 16 sexual education topics that it believes are critical to a young person’s sexual health. Below are key instruction highlights for secondary schools in South Dakota as reported for the 2013–2014 school year.

### 16 Critical Sexual Education Topics Identified by the CDC

1. How to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships
2. Influences of family, peers, media, technology, and other factors on sexual risk behavior
3. Benefits of being sexually abstinent
4. Efficacy of condoms
5. Importance of using condoms consistently and correctly
6. Importance of using a condom at the same time as another form of contraception to prevent both STDs and pregnancy
7. How to obtain condoms
8. How to correctly use a condom
9. Communication and negotiation skills
10. Goal-setting and decision-making skills
11. How HIV and other STDs are transmitted
12. Health consequences of HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy
13. Influencing and supporting others to avoid or reduce sexual risk behaviors
14. Importance of limiting the number of sexual partners
15. How to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, STDs, and pregnancy
16. Preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health.

*Source: School Health Profiles, 2014*

**Reported teaching all 16 critical sexual health education topics**

- 6.2% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students all 16 critical sexual health education topics in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.

- 23.8% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students all 16 critical sexual health education topics in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.

**Reported teaching about the benefits of being sexually abstinent**

- 41.7% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students about the benefits of being sexually abstinent in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.

- 66.4% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students about the benefits of being sexually abstinent in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.
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Reported teaching how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), and pregnancy

- 34.3% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.\(^\text{14}\)

- 64.3% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.\(^\text{15}\)

Reported teaching how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships

- 38.2% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.\(^\text{16}\)

- 67.4% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.\(^\text{17}\)

Reported teaching about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health

- 27.4% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.\(^\text{18}\)

- 60.1% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.\(^\text{19}\)

Reported teaching how to correctly use a condom

- 7.7% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to correctly use a condom in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.\(^\text{20}\)

- 28.4% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students how to correctly use a condom in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.\(^\text{21}\)

Reported teaching about all seven contraceptives

- 15.9% of South Dakota secondary schools taught students about all seven contraceptives—birth control pill, patch, ring, and shot; implants; intrauterine device; and emergency contraception—in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.\(^\text{22}\)
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Reported providing curricula or supplementary materials relevant to lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) youth

- 11% of South Dakota secondary schools provided students with curricula or supplementary materials that included HIV, STD, or pregnancy prevention information relevant to LGBTQ youth.23

Visit the CDC’s School Health Profiles report for additional information on school health policies and practices.

SOUTH DAKOTA TEEN PREGNANCY, HIV/AIDS, AND OTHER STD DATA

The following data from the CDC and the Guttmacher Institute represent the most recent state-specific statistics documenting teen pregnancy, birth, abortion, HIV/AIDS, and other STDs. For those wishing to support the sexual health and wellbeing of young people, it is important to use the data to advance their access to comprehensive education, resources, and services. However, the data is not intended to be used in a manner that is stigmatizing or shaming: Young people have the right to make informed decisions about their health and wellbeing, but this right must be accompanied by the ability to access and understand all available choices. Therefore, the following data should be used to advance a young person’s right to make informed decisions about their body and health.

Teen Pregnancy, Birth, and Abortion

- In 2013, South Dakota had the 31st highest reported teen pregnancy rate in the United States, with a rate of 38 pregnancies per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 43 per 1,000.24 There were a total of 1,070 pregnancies among young women ages 15–19 reported in South Dakota in 2013.25

- In 2015, South Dakota had the 14th highest reported teen birth rate in the United States, with a rate of 26.4 births per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 22.3 per 1,000.26 There were a total of 720 live births to young women ages 15–19 reported in South Dakota in 2015.27

- In 2013, South Dakota had the 49th highest reported teen abortion rate28 in the United States, with a rate of 3 abortions per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 11 per 1,000.29 There were a total of 90 abortions among young women ages 15–19 reported in South Dakota in 2013.30

HIV and AIDS

- In 2015, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among adolescents ages 13–19 in South Dakota was 1.3 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.8 per 100,000.31

- In 2015, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among adolescents ages 13–19 in South Dakota was 0.0 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 0.7 per 100,000.32
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- In 2015, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among young adults ages 20–24 in South Dakota was 6.5 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 31.1 per 100,000.

- In 2015, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among young adults ages 20–24 in South Dakota was 3.3 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.6 per 100,000.

STDs

- In 2015, South Dakota had the 26th highest rate of reported cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 1,727.7 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 1,857.8 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 999 cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 reported in South Dakota.

- In 2015, South Dakota had the 23rd highest rate of reported cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 309.6 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 341.8 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 179 cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 reported in South Dakota.

- In 2015, South Dakota had the 20th highest rate of reported cases of primary and secondary syphilis among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 5.2 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.4 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 3 cases of syphilis reported among young people ages 15–19 in South Dakota.

Visit the Office of Adolescent Health’s (OAH) South Dakota Adolescent Health Facts for additional information.

FEDERAL FUNDING FOR SEXUALITY EDUCATION, UNINTENDED TEEN PREGNANCY, HIV AND OTHER STD PREVENTION, AND ABSTINENCE-ONLY-UNTIL-MARRIAGE (AOUM) PROGRAMS

**Fiscal Year 2017 Federal Funding in South Dakota**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grantee</th>
<th>Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota Department of Health</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPPP Tier 1B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural America Initiatives</td>
<td>$749,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$749,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SIECUS STATE PROFILES FY2017

www.siecus.org
DIVISION OF ADOLESCENT AND SCHOOL HEALTH
The CDC’s school-based HIV prevention efforts include funding and technical assistance to state and local education agencies through several funding streams to better student health, implement HIV/STD prevention programs, collect and report data on young people’s risk behaviors, and expand capacity-building partnerships. In FY 2017, through the CDC’s Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH), 18 state education agencies and 17 school districts received funding to help the districts and schools strengthen student health through exemplary sexual health education (ESHE) that emphasizes HIV and other STD prevention, increases access to key sexual health services (SHS), and establishes safe and supportive environments (SSE) for students and staff. DASH funded six national, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to help state and local education agencies achieve these goals.

- In FY 2017, there were no DASH grantees in South Dakota funded to strengthen student health through ESHE, SHS, and SSE (1308 Strategy 2).

In addition, DASH funds local education agencies and NGOs to implement multiple program activities to meet the HIV- and other STD-prevention needs of young men who have sex with men (YMSM) and to develop strategic partnerships and collaborations between schools and community-based, mental health, and social services organizations to accomplish this work.
- In FY 2017, there were no DASH grantees in South Dakota funded to deliver YMSM programming (1308 Strategy 4).

DASH also provides funding for state, territorial, and local education agencies and state health agencies to establish and strengthen systematic procedures to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data for policy and program improvements.
- In FY 2017, there was one DASH grantee in South Dakota funded to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data (1308 Strategy 1): The South Dakota Department of Health ($60,000).

TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAM (TPPPP)
The OAH, within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), administers TPPP, which funds evidence-based or innovative evidence-informed, medically accurate, and age-appropriate programs to
reduce teen pregnancy. In FY 2017, total funding for TPPP was $101 million, supporting 84 states, cities, non-profit organizations, school districts, universities, community-based organizations, and tribal organizations. These grantees were in year three of five TPPP funding tiers’ five-year cooperative agreements in 33 states, the District of Columbia, and the Marshall Islands. In June 2017, however, 81 of the 84 grantees were notified, without cause or explanation, that their project periods were shortened to just three years, to end on June 30, 2018. Since the other three grantees are on a different grant cycle, they had not yet received notice on the status of their funding at the time of publication. OAH provides program support, implementation evaluation, and technical assistance to grantees and receives an additional $6.8 million in funding for evaluation purposes. Below is information on the five TPPP funding tiers:

Tier 1A: Capacity building to support replication of evidence-based TPP programs.
- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 1A grantees in South Dakota.

Tier 1B: Replicating evidence-based TPP programs to scale in communities with the greatest need.
- In FY 2017, there was one TPPP Tier 1B grantee in South Dakota: Rural America Initiatives (RAI) ($749,999).

RURAL AMERICA INITIATIVES (RAI), $749,999 (FY 2017)
RAI, serving the Native American population of Rapid City, is the longest operating, private, non-profit, Native American-community organization in the country. The organization is dedicated to “strengthen[ing] the development of healthy, sober, self-sufficient lifestyles” and helping Native American children “to thrive in a contemporary environment and to support Native cultural values.” Its programs include a development and educational program for infants and toddlers, fatherhood mentoring, and substance abuse treatment. The organization previously received federal AOUM funding through the now-defunct Community-Based Abstinence Education (CBAE) and Adolescent Family Life Act grant programs. In 2008, the organization was awarded a five-year CBAE grant and received nearly $1.5 million in funding before the program was eliminated in 2010.

With its TPPP funding, RAI strives to reduce sexual risk behaviors among young, low-income Native Americans in Pennington County. RAI implements Project AIM in middle schools and Making Proud Choices! in high schools and alternative schools throughout Pennington County Public Schools. RAI aims to serve 725 young people per year.

Tier 2A: Supporting and enabling early innovation to advance adolescent health and prevent teen pregnancy.
- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2A grantees in South Dakota.

Tier 2B: Rigorous evaluation of new or innovative approaches to prevent teen pregnancy.
- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2B grantees in South Dakota.

Tier 2C: Effectiveness of TPP programs designed specifically for young males.
- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2C grantees in South Dakota.
PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION PROGRAM (PREP)

The Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB), within the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) division of HHS, administers PREP, which was authorized for a total of $75 million in FY 2017 for the state-grant program; local entities through the competitively awarded Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS) program; and the Tribal PREP, which funds tribes and tribal organizations. In addition, provisions within the PREP statute enable a competitive application process for community- and faith-based organizations within states and territories that do not directly seek PREP state grants to apply for funding through the Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP).

Similar to other programs highlighted in the State Profiles, the grants for the various PREP programs are awarded throughout the year, with several awarded in the final month of the fiscal year for use and implementation throughout the following year. SIECUS reports on funding amounts appropriated in FY 2017 and any programmatic activities that occurred during FY 2017, or October 1, 2016–September 30, 2017. It is important to remember, however, that reported programmatic activities for this period may have utilized FY 2016 funds. Details on the state grants, PREIS, Tribal PREP, and CPREP are included below. More information and clarification surrounding funding announcements are also included below, as well as in the FY 2017 Executive Summary, A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States.

PREP State-Grant Program

State-grant PREP supports evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. In FY 2017, 44 states, the District of Columbia, the Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Republic of Palau, and the Virgin Islands received PREP state-grant funds. Funded programs must discuss abstinence and contraception and place substantial emphasis on both. Programs must also address at least three of the following adulthood preparation subjects: healthy relationships, positive adolescent development, financial literacy, parent-child communication skills, education and employment skills, and healthy life skills.

- In FY 2017, The South Dakota Department of Public Health received $250,000 in federal PREP funds. 40
- At the time of publication, additional information as to sub-grants was unknown.

With its state PREP funds, South Dakota Department of Public Health serves young people ages 15-19, placing emphasis on young people in foster care, young people in the juvenile justice system, and new American citizens, in areas with high teen birth rates, including Sioux Falls, Parkston, Huron, Mitchell, Spearfish, Plankinton, Aberdeen, Rapid City, and Nemo. The program implements Families Talking Together, Be Proud! Be Responsible!, and Reducing the Risk curricula in settings such as psychiatric residential treatment programs, group homes, independent living programs, a vocational program, a foster care program, and a chemical dependence treatment center. The program will address healthy life skills, healthy relationships, and educational and career success. South Dakota plans to serve 3,050 young people a year. 41

Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS)

PREIS supports research and demonstration programs to develop, replicate, refine, and test innovative models for preventing unintended teen pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs.
In FY 2017, there were no PREIS grantees in South Dakota.

**Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program (Tribal PREP)**

Tribal PREP supports the development and implementation of pregnancy-, HIV-, and other STD-prevention programs among young people within tribes and tribal communities. Tribal PREP programs target young people ages 10–19 who are in or are aging out of foster care, young people experiencing homelessness, young people living with HIV, young people who live in areas with high rates of adolescent births, and young people under age 21 who are pregnant and/or parenting. In FY 2017, eight tribes and tribal organizations from seven states received a total of $3,271,693.

- In FY 2017, there was one Tribal PREP grantee in South Dakota: The Great Plains Tribal Chairmen's Health Board ($598,227).

**The Great Plains Tribal Chairmen's Health Board (GPTCHB), $598,227 (FY 2017)**

GPTCHB, which represents the 18 tribal communities in South Dakota, North Dakota, Nebraska, and Iowa, works to improve the health of the American Indian peoples through public health support and health care advocacy. GPTCHB uses its Tribal PREP funds for its Sexually Transmitted Infection (STI)/Teen Pregnancy Prevention Initiative (TPPI) (STITPPI), which “promotes and supports community awareness of STIs and how to prevent them, as well as reduction of teen pregnancy and associated risk behaviors.” Through STITPPI, GPTCHB implements *Draw the Line/Respect the Line (DTL/RTL)* curriculum on the Yankton Sioux reservation and curriculum on the Spirit Lake, Standing Rock, and Winnebago reservations to serve young people ages 10-15, especially young American Indians. According to GPTCHB, “programs were selected by community partners – in collaboration with STITPPI staff – for their school-based implementation, coverage of the target population, emphasis on both abstinence and contraception, and coverage of various topics of interest to participating communities.” Each tribal sub-grantee was able to select the adult preparation subjects they would address: the Spirit Lake Nation addresses healthy relationships, parent-child communication, and healthy life skills; the Standing Rock Sioux Tribe addresses healthy relationships, adolescent development, and healthy life skills; the Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska addresses parent-child communication, healthy life skills, and educational and career success; and the Yankton Sioux Tribe addresses healthy relationships, adolescent development, and financial literacy. GPTCHB also sub-grants its Tribal PREP funds to each of these tribal groups. As of July 2017, funding amounts were not yet finalized for each tribal group.

**Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP)**

CPREP grants support evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. Only organizations and institutions in states and territories that did not apply for PREP state grants are eligible to submit competitive applications for CPREP grants. In FY 2017, 21 CPREP grants, totaling $10.2 million, were awarded to 21 organizations in Florida, Indiana, North Dakota, Texas, and Virginia, as well as in American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

- In FY 2017, South Dakota received PREP state-grant funding; therefore, entities in South Dakota were not eligible for CPREP.
TITLE V “ABSTINENCE EDUCATION” STATE GRANT PROGRAM
The Title V “abstinence education” state grant program for AOUM programming, or the Title V AOUM program, is administered by FYSB, within ACF of HHS, and was authorized at $75 million for FY 2017. The Title V AOUM program requires states to provide three state-raised dollars, or the equivalent in services, for every four federal dollars received. The state match may be provided in part or in full by local groups. All programs funded by Title V AOUM must exclusively promote abstinence from sexual activity and may provide mentoring, counseling, and adult supervision toward this end.

- In FY 2017, the South Dakota Department of Public Health received $159,943 in federal Title V AOUM funding.
- At the time of publication, additional information as to sub-grants, match, and South Dakota’s use of FY 2017 Title V AOUM funds was unknown.

With its Title V AOUM funds, South Dakota Department of Public Health will serve young white people ages 9-14 in Boys and Girls Clubs throughout the state. The Department will implement the Smart Moves curriculum.

“SEXUAL RISK AVOIDANCE EDUCATION” (SRAE) GRANT PROGRAM
Administered by FYSB within ACF of HHS, the SRAE program—a rebranding of the competitive AOUM grant program—provides funding for public and private entities for programs that “teach young people to voluntarily refrain from non-marital sexual activity and prevent other youth risk behaviors.” These programs are also required by statute to “teach the benefits associated with self-regulation; success sequencing for poverty prevention; healthy relationships; goal setting and resisting sexual coercion; dating violence; and other youth risk behaviors, such as underage drinking or illicit drug use, without normalizing teen sexual activity.” In FY 2017, $15 million was appropriated for the SRAE grant program, and $13.5 million was awarded to 27 grantees in 14 states through a competitive application process.

- In FY 2017, there were no SRAE grantees in South Dakota.

POINTS OF CONTACT

DASH Contact
Deni Hollingsworth
Surveillance Contact
South Dakota Department of Health
600 E. Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501
Phone: (605) 773-3365
Email: Deni.Hollingsworth@state.sd.us

TPPP Contact
Bruce Long Fox
Rural America Initiatives
Phone: (605) 341-3339
Email: Bwlf@qwestoffice.net
PREP State Grant Program Contact
Julie Ellingson
South Dakota Department of Health
600 E. Capitol Avenue
Pierre, SD 57501
Phone: (605) 773-7150
Email: julie.ellingson@state.ed.us

Tribal PREP Program Contacts
Philip John Beaudry
Great Plains Tribal Chairmen's Health Board
Rapid City, SD
Phone: (605) 721-1922
Email: pj.beaudry@gptchb.org

DenYelle Kenyon, PhD
Director and Associate Scientist at Sanford Research
Sioux Falls, SD
Phone: (605) 312-6207
Email: DenYelle.Kenyon@SanfordHealth.org

1 This refers to the federal government’s fiscal year, which begins on October 1 and ends on September 30. The fiscal year is designated by the calendar year in which it ends; for example, FY 2017 began on October 1, 2016, and ended on September 30, 2017.
7 It is critical to examine social determinants when analyzing potentially stigmatizing data. Accounting for differences in people’s lived experiences based on race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, etc., is a vital part of understanding the context in which the data exist. We encourage readers to exercise caution when using the data and warn readers against using the data in a manner that conflates correlation with causation. Please visit the FY 2017 Executive Summary, A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States, for more context.
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