

# State Profiles FISCAL YEAR 2017

The complete FY 2017 State Profiles comprise individual state-specific documents along with four other accompanying documents. The Executive Summary details the current state of sexuality education across the country, highlighting trends observed over the past few decades. Additionally, it is critical to examine the information from each state within the larger context of the laws and federal funding streams across the country. Please reference the following documents to inform and contextualize broader sexuality education trends:

- [Executive Summary](#)
- [Federal Funding Overview](#) – compared to [Nevada's federal funding](#)
- [Sex/Sexuality and HIV and other STIs Education Laws by State](#) – compared to [Nevada's education laws](#)
- [Descriptions of Curricula and Programs across the United States](#)

## NEVADA

**In Fiscal Year 2017,<sup>1</sup> the state of Nevada received:**

- **Division of Adolescent and School Health funds totaling \$65,000**
- **Personal Responsibility Education Program funds totaling \$417,330**
- **Title V State Abstinence Education Program funds totaling \$618,719**

**In Fiscal Year 2017, local entities in Nevada received:**

- **Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program funds totaling \$1,321,586**

### SEXUALITY EDUCATION LAW AND POLICY

#### STATE LAW

[Nevada Revised Statutes § 389.036](#) mandates that each school district's board of trustees "shall establish a course or unit of a course of":

- a) Factual instruction concerning acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS); and
- b) Instruction on the human reproductive system, related communicable diseases, and sexual responsibility.<sup>2</sup>

Such classes cannot be a requirement for graduation.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore, each board of trustees must appoint an advisory committee to advise on course content and instructional materials. Advisory committees shall consist of five parents who have children in the school district and four representatives from the medicine, counseling, religion, student, or teaching communities. Nevada law also requires that:

The parent or guardian of each pupil to whom a course is offered must first be furnished written notice that the course will be offered. The notice must be given in the usual manner used by the local district to transmit written material to parents, and must contain a form for

the signature of the parent or guardian of the pupil consenting to his attendance. Upon receipt of the written consent of the parent or guardian, the pupil may attend the course. If the written consent of the parent or guardian is not received, he must be excused from such attendance without any penalty as to credits or academic standing.<sup>4</sup>

[This is referred to as an “opt-in” policy.](#)

### **STATE STANDARDS**

The Nevada Department of Education provides [Health Education Standards](#) to help guide curriculum development. Beginning in grades 6-8, students learn to “analyze risky behaviors that may lead to the spread of communicable disease (e.g., sexually transmitted infections (STIs).” There is no other guidance on sexuality education in Nevada’s standards.

### **STATE LEGISLATIVE SESSION ACTIVITY**

SIECUS tracks all state legislative session activity in our state legislative reports. For more information on bills related to school-based sexuality education that were introduced or passed in 2016, please see the most recent analysis of state legislative activity, [SIECUS’ 2016 Sex Ed State Legislative Year-End Report: Top Topics and Takeaways](#).

### **YOUTH SEXUAL HEALTH DATA**

Young people are more than their health behaviors and outcomes. For those wishing to support the sexual health and wellbeing of young people, it is important to utilize available data in a manner that tracks our progress and pushes policies forward while respecting and supporting the dignity of all young lives.

While data can be a powerful tool to demonstrate the sexuality education and sexual health care needs of young people, it is important to be mindful that these behaviors and outcomes are impacted by systemic inequities present in our society that affect an individual’s sexual health and wellbeing. That is, the context in which a young person’s health behavior and decision-making happens is not reflected in individual data points. Notably, one example demonstrating such inequities are the limitations as to how and what data are currently collected; please be mindful of populations who may not be included in surveys or who may be misrepresented by the data. The data categories and any associated language are taken directly from the respective surveys and are not a representation of SIECUS’ positions or values. For more information regarding SIECUS’ use of data, please read the FY 2017 Executive Summary, [A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States](#).

### **NEVADA YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY (YRBS) DATA<sup>5</sup>**

The following sexual health behavior and outcome data represent some of the most recent information available on the health of young people who attend high schools in Nevada. Though not perfect—for instance, using broad race and ethnicity categories can often distort and aggregate the experiences of a diverse group of respondents—the YRBS is a critical resource for understanding the health behaviors of young people when used carefully and with an awareness of its limitations. Any missing data points indicate either a lack of enough respondents for a subcategory or the state’s decision not to administer a question on the survey. SIECUS commends the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for

conducting decades' worth of field studies to improve the accuracy and relevancy of the YRBS. Like the CDC, SIECUS underlines that "school and community interventions should focus not only on behaviors but also on the determinants of those behaviors."<sup>6</sup>

#### Reported ever having had sexual intercourse

- In 2015, 39.6% of female high school students and 40.7% of male high school students in Nevada reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 39.2% of female high school students and 43.2% of male high school students nationwide
- In 2015, 47.9% of lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB) high school students, 45.6% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 39.1% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 50.8% of LGB high school students, 31.6% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 40.9% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 43.5% of Hispanic high school students and 40.2% of white high school students in Nevada reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 42.5% of Hispanic high school students and 39.9% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13

- In 2015, 2% of female high school students and 4% of male high school students in Nevada reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 2.2% of female high school students and 5.6% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 5.4% of LGB high school students, 9.2% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 2.5% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 7.3% of LGB high school students, 8.8% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 3.4% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 3.2% of Hispanic high school students and 2.6% of white high school students in Nevada reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 5% of Hispanic high school students and 2.5% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported being currently sexually active

- In 2015, 27.5% of female high school students and 26.4% of male high school students in Nevada reported being currently sexually active, compared to 29.8% of female high school students and 30.3% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 34.8% of LGB high school students, 27.5% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 26.1% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported being currently sexually active, compared to 35.1% of LGB high school

students, 22.9% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 30.1% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.

- In 2015, 28.4% of Hispanic high school students and 30.2% of white high school students in Nevada reported being currently sexually active, compared to 30.3% of Hispanic high school students and 30.3% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported not using a condom during last sexual intercourse

- In 2015, 50.8% of female high school students and 41.5% of male high school students in Nevada reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 48% of female high school students and 38.5% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 64.9% of LGB high school students and 43.4% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 52.5% of LGB high school students and 42.2% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 46.6% of Hispanic high school students and 46.3% of white high school students in Nevada reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 44.4% of Hispanic high school students and 43.2% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse

- In 2015, 13.8% of female high school students and 11% of male high school students in Nevada reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 15.2% of female high school students and 12.2% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 33.6% of LGB high school students and 10.3% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 26.4% of LGB high school students and 12.4% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 12.5% of Hispanic high school students and 11.2% of white high school students in Nevada reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 20% of Hispanic high school students and 10.4% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during last sexual intercourse<sup>7</sup>

- In 2015, 17.6% of female high school students and 20.8% of male high school students in Nevada reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 16.4% of female high school students and 24.6% of male high school students nationwide.

- In 2015, 26.9% of LGB high school students and 17.1% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 22.4% of LGB high school students and 20% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 17.6% of Hispanic high school students and 19.1% of white high school students in Nevada reported having had drunk alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 22.8% of Hispanic high school students and 19.3% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported never having been tested for HIV

- In 2015, 88.7% of female high school students and 87.8% of male high school students in Nevada reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 88.9% of female high school students and 90.7% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 82.4% of LGB high school students, 84.5% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 88.8% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 81.8% of LGB high school students, 87.2% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 90.7% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 88% of Hispanic high school students and 89.3% of white high school students in Nevada reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 88.9% of Hispanic high school students and 92% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse

- In 2015, 11.1% of female high school students and 5.6% of male high school students in Nevada reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 10.3% of female high school students and 3.1% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 21.4% of LGB high school students, 15.7% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 6.6% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 17.8% of LGB high school students, 12.6% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 5.4% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 8.6% of Hispanic high school students and 7.3% of white high school students in Nevada reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 7% of Hispanic high school students and 6% of white high school students nationwide.

### Reported experiencing physical dating violence

- In 2015, 10.5% of female high school students and 8.8% of male high school students in Nevada reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 11.7% of female high school students and 7.4% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 18.8% of LGB high school students, 25.7% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 7.8% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 17.5% of LGB high school students, 24.5% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 8.3% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 11.4% of Hispanic high school students and 7.5% of white high school students in Nevada reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 9.7% of Hispanic high school students and 9% of white high school students nationwide.

### Reported experiencing sexual dating violence

- In 2015, 14.4% of female high school students and 8.5% of male high school students in Nevada reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 15.6% of female high school students and 5.4% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 20.9% of LGB high school students, 20.8% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 10% of heterosexual high school students in Nevada reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 22.7% of LGB high school students, 23.8% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 9.1% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2015, 12.4% of Hispanic high school students and 10.2% of white high school students in Nevada reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 10.6% of Hispanic high school students and 10.1% of white high school students nationwide.

Visit the CDC [Youth Online](#) database and [Health Risks Among Sexual Minority Youth](#) report for additional information on sexual behaviors.

### **NEVADA SCHOOL HEALTH PROFILES DATA<sup>8</sup>**

In 2015, the CDC released the School Health Profiles, which measures school health policies and practices and highlights which health topics were taught in schools across the country. Since the data was collected from self-administered questionnaires completed by schools' principals and lead health education teachers, the CDC notes that one limitation of the School Health Profiles is bias toward the reporting of more positive policies and practices.<sup>9</sup> In the School Health Profiles, the CDC identifies 16 sexual education topics that it believes are critical to a young person's sexual health. Below are key instruction highlights for secondary schools in Nevada as reported for the 2013–2014 school year.

### 16 CRITICAL SEXUAL EDUCATION TOPICS IDENTIFIED BY THE CDC

- 1) How to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships
- 2) Influences of family, peers, media, technology, and other factors on sexual risk behavior
- 3) Benefits of being sexually abstinent
- 4) Efficacy of condoms
- 5) Importance of using condoms consistently and correctly
- 6) Importance of using a condom at the same time as another form of contraception to prevent both STDs and pregnancy
- 7) How to obtain condoms
- 8) How to correctly use a condom
- 9) Communication and negotiation skills
- 10) Goal-setting and decision-making skills
- 11) How HIV and other STDs are transmitted
- 12) Health consequences of HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy
- 13) Influencing and supporting others to avoid or reduce sexual risk behaviors
- 14) Importance of limiting the number of sexual partners
- 15) How to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, STDs, and pregnancy
- 16) Preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health.

*Source: School Health Profiles, 2014*

#### Reported teaching all 16 critical sexual health education topics

- 25.9% of Nevada secondary schools taught students all 16 critical sexual health education topics in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>10</sup>
- 45.4% of Nevada secondary schools taught students all 16 critical sexual health education topics in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>11</sup>

#### Reported teaching about the benefits of being sexually abstinent

- 84.6% of Nevada secondary schools taught students about the benefits of being sexually abstinent in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>12</sup>
- 90.8% of Nevada secondary schools taught students about the benefits of being sexually abstinent in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>13</sup>

#### Reported teaching how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), and pregnancy

- 77.9% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>14</sup>
- 84.7% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>15</sup>

#### Reported teaching how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships

- 78.4% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>16</sup>
- 87.6% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>17</sup>

#### Reported teaching about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health

- 69.8% of Nevada secondary schools taught students about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>18</sup>
- 84.4% of Nevada secondary schools taught students about preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>19</sup>

#### Reported teaching how to correctly use a condom

- 33.6% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to correctly use a condom in a required course in any of grades 6, 7, or 8.<sup>20</sup>
- 56.2% of Nevada secondary schools taught students how to correctly use a condom in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>21</sup>

#### Reported teaching about all seven contraceptives

- 44.1% of Nevada secondary schools taught students about all seven contraceptives—birth control pill, patch, ring, and shot; implants; intrauterine device; and emergency contraception—in a required course in any of grades 9, 10, 11, or 12.<sup>22</sup>

#### Reported providing curricula or supplementary materials relevant to LGB, transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) youth

- 35.6% of Nevada secondary schools provided students with curricula or supplementary materials that included HIV, STD, or pregnancy prevention information relevant to LGBTQ youth.<sup>23</sup>

Visit the CDC's [School Health Profiles](#) report for additional information on school health policies and practices.

#### **NEVADA TEEN PREGNANCY, HIV/AIDS, AND OTHER STD DATA**

The following data from the CDC and the Guttmacher Institute represent the most recent state-specific statistics documenting teen pregnancy, birth, abortion, HIV/AIDS, and other STDs. For those wishing to support the sexual health and wellbeing of young people, it is important to use the data to advance their access to comprehensive education, resources, and services. However, the data is not intended to be used in a manner that is stigmatizing or shaming: Young people have the right to make informed decisions about their health and wellbeing, but this right must be accompanied by the ability to access



and understand all available choices. Therefore, the following data should be used to advance a young person's right to make informed decisions about their body and health.

### **Teen Pregnancy, Birth, and Abortion**

- In 2013, Nevada had the 9th highest reported teen pregnancy rate in the United States, with a rate of 49 pregnancies per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 43 per 1,000.<sup>24</sup> There were a total of 4,190 pregnancies among young women ages 15–19 reported in Nevada in 2013.<sup>25</sup>
- In 2015, Nevada had the 13th highest reported teen birth rate in the United States, with a rate of 27.6 births per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 22.3 per 1,000.<sup>26</sup> There were a total of 2,369 live births to young women ages 15–19 reported in Nevada in 2015.<sup>27</sup>
- In 2013, Nevada had the 10th highest reported teen abortion rate<sup>28</sup> in the United States, with a rate of 11 abortions per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 11 per 1,000.<sup>29</sup> There were a total of 970 abortions among young women ages 15–19 reported in Nevada in 2013.<sup>30</sup>

### **HIV and AIDS**

- In 2015, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among adolescents ages 13–19 in Nevada was 4.4 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.8 per 100,000.<sup>31</sup>
- In 2015, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among adolescents ages 13–19 in Nevada was 0.0 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 0.7 per 100,000.<sup>32</sup>
- In 2015, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among young adults ages 20–24 in Nevada was 38.8 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 31.1 per 100,000.<sup>33</sup>
- In 2015, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among young adults ages 20–24 in Nevada was 8.5 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.6 per 100,000.<sup>34</sup>

### **STDs**

- In 2015, Nevada had the 34th highest rate of reported cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 1,602.5 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 1,857.8 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 2,833 cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 reported in Nevada.<sup>35</sup>
- In 2015, Nevada had the 27th highest rate of reported cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 272.1 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 341.8 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 481 cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 reported in Nevada.<sup>36</sup>

- In 2015, Nevada had the 7th highest rate of reported cases of primary and secondary syphilis among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 7.9 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.4 per 100,000. In 2015, there were a total of 14 cases of syphilis reported among young people ages 15–19 in Nevada.<sup>37</sup>

Visit the Office of Adolescent Health’s (OAH) [Nevada Adolescent Health Facts](#) for additional information.

**FEDERAL FUNDING FOR SEXUALITY EDUCATION, UNINTENDED TEEN PREGNANCY, HIV AND OTHER STD PREVENTION, AND ABSTINENCE-ONLY-UNTIL-MARRIAGE (AOUM) PROGRAMS**

**FISCAL YEAR 2017 FEDERAL FUNDING IN NEVADA**

<b>Grantee</b>	<b>Award</b>
<b>Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH)</b>	
Nevada Department of Health & Human Services	\$65,000
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$65,000</b>
<b>Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP)</b>	
TPPP Tier 1A	
Nevada Primary Care Association	\$571,587
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$571,587</b>
TPPP Tier 1B	
Southern Nevada Health District	\$749,999
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$749,999</b>
<b>Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP)</b>	
PREP State-Grant Program	
Nevada State Health Division (federal grant)	\$417,330
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$417,330</b>
<b>Title V Abstinence-Only-Until-Marriage Program (Title V AOUM)</b>	
Nevada State Health Division (federal grant)	\$618,719
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$618,719</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL</b>	<b>\$2,422,635</b>

## **DIVISION OF ADOLESCENT AND SCHOOL HEALTH**

The CDC's school-based HIV prevention efforts include funding and technical assistance to state and local education agencies through several funding streams to better student health, implement HIV/STD prevention programs, collect and report data on young people's risk behaviors, and expand capacity-building partnerships. In FY 2017, through the CDC's Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH), 18 state education agencies and 17 school districts received funding to help the districts and schools strengthen student health through exemplary sexual health education (ESHE) that emphasizes HIV and other STD prevention, increases access to key sexual health services (SHS), and establishes safe and supportive environments (SSE) for students and staff. DASH funded six national, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to help state and local education agencies achieve these goals.

- In FY 2017, there were no DASH grantees in Nevada funded to strengthen student health through ESHE, SHS, and SSE (1308 Strategy 2).

In addition, DASH funds local education agencies and NGOs to implement multiple program activities to meet the HIV- and other STD-prevention needs of young men who have sex with men (YMSM) and to develop strategic partnerships and collaborations between schools and community-based, mental health, and social services organizations to accomplish this work.

- In FY 2017, there were no DASH grantees in Nevada funded to deliver YMSM programming (1308 Strategy 4).

DASH also provides funding for state, territorial, and local education agencies and state health agencies to establish and strengthen systematic procedures to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data for policy and program improvements.

- In FY 2017, there was one DASH grantee in Nevada funded to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data (1308 Strategy 1): The Nevada Department of Health and Human Services (\$65,000).

## **TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAM (TPPP)**

The OAH, within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), administers TPPP, which funds evidence-based or innovative evidence-informed, medically accurate, and age-appropriate programs to reduce teen pregnancy. In FY 2017, total funding for TPPP was \$101 million, supporting 84 states, cities, non-profit organizations, school districts, universities, community-based organizations, and tribal organizations. These grantees were in year three of five TPPP funding tiers' five-year cooperative agreements in 33 states, the District of Columbia, and the Marshall Islands. In June 2017, however, 81 of the 84 grantees were notified, without cause or explanation, that their project periods were shortened to just three years, to end on June 30, 2018. Since the other three grantees are on a different grant cycle, they had not yet received notice on the status of their funding at the time of publication. OAH provides program support, implementation evaluation, and technical assistance to grantees and receives an additional \$6.8 million in funding for evaluation purposes. Below is information on the five TPPP funding tiers:

Tier 1A: Capacity building to support replication of evidence-based TPP programs.

- In FY 2017, there was one TPPP Tier 1A grantee in Nevada: Nevada Primary Care Association (\$571,587).

NEVADA PRIMARY CARE ASSOCIATION (NVPCA), \$571,587 (FY 2017)

NVPCA is a non-profit organization that aims to aid health centers and other community health care providers in improving service effectiveness and efficiency. The organization is based on “maximizing resources, developing a strong intersection between medical care and public health at the community level, and using sound business sense in developing capacity to serve those who do not have access to mainstream health care.”<sup>38</sup> NVPCA will partner with community health centers in Nevada and the Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health to administer the TPPP Tier 1A funds. NVPCA will implement *Blueliner*, a program intended to build the capacity of community health centers and provide evidence-based programs to young people in clinic- and community-based settings. Programming will be available to young Latinos and African Americans in seven counties in Nevada. NVPCA aims to serve 500 young people per year.<sup>39</sup>

Tier 1B: Replicating evidence-based TPP programs to scale in communities with the greatest need.

- In FY 2017, there was one TPPP Tier 1B grantee in Nevada: Southern Nevada Health District (\$749,999).

SOUTHERN NEVADA HEALTH DISTRICT (SNHD), \$749,999 (FY 2017)

SNHD is located in Las Vegas and is one of the largest local public health centers in the United States, serving more than 1.7 million residents that make up 70% of Nevada’s total population. It provides administrative, clinical, community, and environmental health services to residents and visitors of Clark County.<sup>40</sup> With its TPPP funding, SNHD will provide programming to young people in two Clark County communities within the Cities of Las Vegas and North Las Vegas. SNHD will conduct the Southern Nevada Teen Pregnancy Prevention Replication Project by implementing the following curricula in juvenile detention, community-based programs, and out-of-home placement for young people in foster care: [\*Families Talking Together\*](#), [\*Be Proud! Be Responsible!\*](#), and [\*Sexual Health and Adolescent Risk Prevention \(SHARP\)\*](#). SNHD aims to reach at least 800 young people per year.<sup>41</sup>

Tier 2A: Supporting and enabling early innovation to advance adolescent health and prevent teen pregnancy.

- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2A grantees in Nevada.

Tier 2B: Rigorous evaluation of new or innovative approaches to prevent teen pregnancy.

- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2B grantees in Nevada.

Tier 2C: Effectiveness of TPP programs designed specifically for young males.

- In FY 2017, there were no TPPP Tier 2C grantees in Nevada.

### **PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION PROGRAM (PREP)**

The Family and Youth Services Bureau (FYSB), within the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) division of HHS, administers PREP, which was authorized for a total of \$75 million in FY 2017 for the state-grant program; local entities through the competitively awarded Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS) program; and the Tribal PREP, which funds tribes and tribal organizations. In addition, provisions within the PREP statute enable a competitive application process for community- and faith-based organizations within states and territories that do not directly seek PREP state grants to apply for funding through the Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP).

Similar to other programs highlighted in the State Profiles, the grants for the various PREP programs are awarded throughout the year, with several awarded in the final month of the fiscal year for use and implementation throughout the following year. SIECUS reports on funding amounts appropriated in FY 2017 and any programmatic activities that occurred during FY 2017, or October 1, 2016–September 30, 2017. It is important to remember, however, that reported programmatic activities for this period may have utilized FY 2016 funds. Details on the state grants, PREIS, Tribal PREP, and CPREP are included below. More information and clarification surrounding funding announcements are also included below, as well as in the FY 2017 Executive Summary, [A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States](#).

### PREP State-Grant Program

State-grant PREP supports evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. In FY 2017, 44 states, the District of Columbia, the Federated States of Micronesia, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Republic of Palau, and the Virgin Islands received PREP state-grant funds. Funded programs must discuss abstinence and contraception and place substantial emphasis on both. Programs must also address at least three of the following adulthood preparation subjects: healthy relationships, positive adolescent development, financial literacy, parent-child communication skills, education and employment skills, and healthy life skills.

- In FY 2017, the Nevada Department of Health and Human Services received \$417,330 in federal PREP funds.<sup>42</sup>
- At the time of publication, information as to Nevada’s use of FY 2017 PREP state-grant funds was unknown. The following information reflects implementation of FY 2016 funds during FY 2017.
- There are five sub-grantees for the Nevada PREP state-grant program. The sub-grantee information is listed below.<sup>43</sup>

<b>Sub-grantee</b>	<b>Serving</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Carson City Health and Human Services	Carson City, Douglas, and Lyon Counties	\$45,415
The Center	Clark County	\$29,604
Family Resource Center of Northeastern Nevada – Elko	Elko, Lander, Humboldt, and White Pine Counties	\$55,925
Planned Parenthood Mar Monte, Northern Nevada	Washoe County	\$105,681
Planned Parenthood of the Rocky Mountains, Southern Nevada	Clark and Nye Counties	\$99,875

The Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health implements the state’s PREP grant program in collaboration with five sub-grantees. Programming takes place in mostly community-based settings and targets young people ages 13-18, with priority enrollment given to young people in high-risk communities. Nevada PREP requires the use of evidence-based curricula. Carson City Health and Human Services currently uses [Promoting Health Among Teens! - Abstinence Only \(PHAT-AO\)](#) and [Making Proud Choices!](#); Family Resource Center of Northeastern Nevada implements [Promoting Health Among Teens! - Abstinence Only \(PHAT-AO\)](#); Planned Parenthood Mar Monte implements [¡Cuidate!](#), [Teen Success](#), [Sexual Health and Adolescent Risk Prevention \(SHARP\)](#) and [Making Proud Choices!](#); the Center implements [Making Proud Choices!](#) and a [Reducing the](#)

[Risk](#) supplement; and Planned Parenthood of the Rocky Mountains implements the [¡Cuidate!](#) curriculum with their PREP funding.<sup>44</sup>

#### Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS)

PREIS supports research and demonstration programs to develop, replicate, refine, and test innovative models for preventing unintended teen pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs.

- In FY 2017, there were no PREIS grantees in Nevada.

#### Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program (Tribal PREP)

Tribal PREP supports the development and implementation of pregnancy-, HIV-, and other STD-prevention programs among young people within tribes and tribal communities. Tribal PREP programs target young people ages 10–19 who are in or are aging out of foster care, young people experiencing homelessness, young people living with HIV, young people who live in areas with high rates of adolescent births, and young people under age 21 who are pregnant and/or parenting. In FY 2017, eight tribes and tribal organizations from seven states received a total of \$3,271,693.

- In FY 2017, there were no Tribal PREP grantees in Nevada.

#### Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP)

CPREP grants support evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. Only organizations and institutions in states and territories that did not apply for PREP state grants are eligible to submit competitive applications for CPREP grants. In FY 2017, 21 CPREP grants, totaling \$10.2 million, were awarded to 21 organizations in Florida, Indiana, North Dakota, Texas, and Virginia, as well as in American Samoa, Guam, and the Northern Mariana Islands.

- In FY 2017, Nevada received PREP state-grant funding; therefore, entities in Nevada were not eligible for CPREP.

### **TITLE V “ABSTINENCE EDUCATION” STATE GRANT PROGRAM**

The Title V “abstinence education” state grant program for AOUM programming, or the Title V AOUM program, is administered by FYSB, within ACF of HHS, and was authorized at \$75 million for FY 2017. The Title V AOUM program requires states to provide three state-raised dollars, or the equivalent in services, for every four federal dollars received. The state match may be provided in part or in full by local groups. All programs funded by Title V AOUM must exclusively promote abstinence from sexual activity and may provide mentoring, counseling, and adult supervision toward this end.<sup>45</sup>

- In FY 2017, the Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health received \$618,719 in federal Title V AOUM funding.<sup>46</sup>
- At the time of publication, information as to Nevada’s use of FY 2017 Title V AOUM funds was unknown. The following information reflects implementation of FY 2016 funds during FY 2017.
- The agency provides sub-grants to five local public and private entities. The sub-grantee information is listed below.<sup>47</sup>
- In Nevada, the match is made with in-kind funds from the Nevada Broadcasters Association. The association provides a \$5 return for every \$1 spent, for a total match of \$350,000.<sup>48</sup>

<b>Sub-grantee</b>	<b>Serving</b>	<b>Amount</b>
Carson City Health and Human Services	See narrative below	\$122,250
NyE Communities Coalition	See narrative below	\$104,874
Family Resource Center of Northeastern Nevada – Elko	See narrative below	\$94,512
Nevada Broadcasters Association	See narrative below	\$100,000
Quest Counseling and Consulting	See narrative below	\$46,138

The Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health administers the Title V AOUM program in collaboration with five local sub-grantees to provide community-based programming in Carson City, Douglas, Elko, Humboldt, Lander, Lyon, Washoe, and White Pine counties. The funded programs target young people ages 9-15, with priority enrollment given to those in foster care. In addition, there is a statewide radio and TV campaign funded by the Title V AOUM program, in partnership with the Nevada Broadcasters Association, to produce TV and radio spots in English and Spanish promoting abstinence from sexual activity and encouraging parent-child communication. Sub-grantees use the [Promoting Health Among Teens! \(PHAT\) – Abstinence-Only Intervention](#) curriculum and are piloting [Teen Outreach Program \(TOP\)](#).<sup>49</sup>

#### **“SEXUAL RISK AVOIDANCE EDUCATION” (SRAE) GRANT PROGRAM**

Administered by FYSB within ACF of HHS, the SRAE program—a rebranding of the competitive AOUM grant program—provides funding for public and private entities for programs that “teach young people to voluntarily refrain from non-marital sexual activity and prevent other youth risk behaviors.” These programs are also required by statute to “teach the benefits associated with self-regulation; success sequencing for poverty prevention; healthy relationships; goal setting and resisting sexual coercion; dating violence; and other youth risk behaviors, such as underage drinking or illicit drug use, without normalizing teen sexual activity.” In FY 2017, \$15 million was appropriated for the SRAE grant program, and \$13.5 million was awarded to 27 grantees in 14 states through a competitive application process.

- In FY 2017, there were no SRAE grantees in Nevada.

## **POINTS OF CONTACT**

### **DASH Contact**

Amberlee Baxa  
 YRBS Program Coordinator  
 Nevada Department of Education  
 9890 S. Maryland Parkway, 2nd Floor  
 Las Vegas, NV 89183  
 Phone: (702) 486-3567  
 Email: [ABaxa@health.nv.gov](mailto:ABaxa@health.nv.gov)

**TPPP Contacts**

Richard Cichy  
Southern Nevada Health District  
Phone: (702) 759-0892  
Email: [cichy@snhdmail.org](mailto:cichy@snhdmail.org)

Nancy E. Hook  
Nevada Primary Care Association  
Phone: (775) 887-0417  
Email: [nhook@nvpca.org](mailto:nhook@nvpca.org)

**PREP State-Grant Program Contact**

Lisa Godenick, MPH  
Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) Coordinator  
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services  
Division of Public and Behavioral Health  
Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program  
4150 Technology Way, Suite 210  
Carson City, NV 89706  
Phone: (775) 684-4271  
Email: [lgodenick@health.nv.gov](mailto:lgodenick@health.nv.gov)

Vickie Ives, MA  
Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health Section Manager  
Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health  
Bureau of Child, Family, & Community Wellness  
Division of Public and Behavioral Health  
4150 Technology Way, Suite 210  
Carson City, NV 89706  
Phone: (775) 684-2201  
Fax: (775) 684-4245  
Email: [vives@health.nv.gov](mailto:vives@health.nv.gov)

**Title V AOUM Program Contact**

Aundrea Ogushi  
AEGP Coordinator  
Nevada Department of Health and Human Services  
Division of Public and Behavioral Health  
Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program  
4150 Technology Way, Suite 210  
Carson City, NV 89706  
Phone : (775) 687-7527  
Email: [aogushi@health.nv.gov](mailto:aogushi@health.nv.gov)



<sup>1</sup> This refers to the federal government’s fiscal year, which begins on October 1 and ends on September 30. The fiscal year is designated by the calendar year in which it ends; for example, FY 2017 began on October 1, 2016, and ended on September 30, 2017.

<sup>2</sup> Nev. Rev. Stat. § 389.036(1), <http://leg.state.nv.us/nrs/NRS-389.html#NRS389Sec036>.

<sup>3</sup> Nev. Rev. Stat. § 389.065(4).

<sup>4</sup> Ibid.

<sup>5</sup> “Youth Online,” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <https://nccd.cdc.gov/youthonline/App/Default.aspx>.

<sup>6</sup> “Methodology of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System – 2013,” pg. 17, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, [www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6201.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6201.pdf).

<sup>7</sup> It is critical to examine social determinants when analyzing potentially stigmatizing data. Accounting for differences in people’s lived experiences based on race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, etc., is a vital part of understanding the context in which the data exist. We encourage readers to exercise caution when using the data and warn readers against using the data in a manner that conflates correlation with causation. Please visit the FY 2017 Executive Summary, [A Portrait of Sexuality Education in the States](#), for more context.

<sup>8</sup> “School Health Profiles 2014,” Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, <https://nccd.cdc.gov/youthonline/App/Default.aspx>.

<sup>9</sup> Ibid., pg. 51.

<sup>10</sup> Ibid., Table 9c.

<sup>11</sup> Ibid., Table 11c.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid., Table 9a.

<sup>13</sup> Ibid., Table 11a.

<sup>14</sup> Ibid., Table 9a.

<sup>15</sup> Ibid., Table 11a.

<sup>16</sup> Ibid., Table 9b.

<sup>17</sup> Ibid., Table 11b.

<sup>18</sup> Ibid., Table 9b.

<sup>19</sup> Ibid., Table 11b.

<sup>20</sup> Ibid., Table 9c.

<sup>21</sup> Ibid., Table 11c.

<sup>22</sup> Ibid., Table 13.

<sup>23</sup> Ibid., Table 39.

<sup>24</sup> Arpaia, A., Kost, K., and Maddow-Zimet, I., *Pregnancies, Births and Abortions Among Adolescents and Young Women in the United States, 2013: State Trends by Age, Race, and Ethnicity* (New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2017), [https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\\_tables.pdf](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013_tables.pdf), Table 2.5.

<sup>25</sup> Ibid., Table 2.6.

<sup>26</sup> “Teen Birth Rate Comparison, 2015 Among Girls Age 15-19,” The National Campaign to Prevent Teen and Unplanned Pregnancy, <https://thenationalcampaign.org/data/compare/1701>.

<sup>27</sup> United States Department of Health and Human Services (US DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Division of Vital Statistics, Natality public-use data 2007-2015, on CDC WONDER Online Database, February 2017. Accessed at <http://wonder.cdc.gov/nativity-current.html>.

<sup>28</sup> “Abortion” used in this context refers to legally induced abortions. This rate does not include abortions that occur outside of health care facilities or are unreported. Unfortunately, there is no reliable source of information for actual rates of abortion.

<sup>29</sup> Arpaia, A., Kost, K., and Maddow-Zimet, I., *Pregnancies, Births and Abortions Among Adolescents and Young Women in the United States, 2013: State Trends by Age, Race, and Ethnicity* (New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2017), [https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\\_tables.pdf](https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013_tables.pdf), Table 2.5.

<sup>30</sup> Ibid., Table 2.6.

<sup>31</sup> Slide 17: “Rates of Diagnoses of HIV Infection among Adolescents Aged 13–19 Years 2015—United States and 6 Dependent Areas,” *HIV Surveillance in Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), [www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf).

<sup>32</sup> Slide 20: “Rates of Diagnosed HIV Infection Classified as Stage 3 (AIDS) among Adolescents Aged 13–19 Years, 2015—United States and 6 Dependent Areas,” *HIV Surveillance in Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), [www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf).

- 
- <sup>33</sup> Slide 18: “Rates of Diagnoses of HIV Infection among Young Adults Aged 20–24 Years 2015—United States and 6 Dependent Areas,” *HIV Surveillance in Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), [www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf).
- <sup>34</sup> Slide 21: “Rates of Diagnosed HIV Infection Classified as Stage 3 (AIDS) among Young Adults Aged 20–24 Years, 2015—United States and 6 Dependent Areas,” *HIV Surveillance in Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), [www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2015.pdf).
- <sup>35</sup> NCHHSTP Atlas, “STD Surveillance Data” (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), <http://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/NCHHSTPAtlas/main.html>.
- <sup>36</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>37</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>38</sup> “Overview/Mission,” Nevada Primary Care Association, [www.nvpca.org/overview-mission/](http://www.nvpca.org/overview-mission/).
- <sup>39</sup> “Nevada Primary Care Association,” Grantees (NV) – TPP Tier 1A, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Adolescent Health, [www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grants/grantees/tpp/1a/nevada-primary-care-association.html#](http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grants/grantees/tpp/1a/nevada-primary-care-association.html#).
- <sup>40</sup> Southern Nevada Health District, “General Information,” [www.southernnevadahealthdistrict.org/general-information.php](http://www.southernnevadahealthdistrict.org/general-information.php).
- <sup>41</sup> “Southern Nevada Health District,” Grantees (NV) – TPP Tier 1B, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Adolescent Health, [www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grants/grantees/tpp/1b/southern-nevada-health-district.html](http://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grants/grantees/tpp/1b/southern-nevada-health-district.html).
- <sup>42</sup> “2017 State Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) Awards,” Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, [www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/2017-state-prep-awards](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/2017-state-prep-awards).
- <sup>43</sup> Information provided by Aundrea Ogushi, AEGP Coordinator, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, May 1, 2017.
- <sup>44</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>45</sup> 42 U.S.C. 710, Title V, Section 510 of the Social Security Act, the authorization for the Title V AOUM grant program, defines “abstinence education” as “an educational or motivational program which:
- (A) has as its exclusive purpose, teaching the social, psychological, and health gains to be realized by abstaining from sexual activity;
  - (B) teaches abstinence from sexual activity outside marriage as the expected standard for all school-age children;
  - (C) teaches that abstinence from sexual activity is the only certain way to avoid out-of-wedlock pregnancy, sexually transmitted diseases, and other associated health problems;
  - (D) teaches that a mutually faithful monogamous relationship in context of marriage is the expected standard of human sexual activity;
  - (E) teaches that sexual activity outside of the context of marriage is likely to have harmful psychological and physical effects;
  - (F) teaches that bearing children out-of-wedlock is likely to have harmful consequences for the child, the child’s parents, and society;
  - (G) teaches young people how to reject sexual advances and how alcohol and drug use increases vulnerability to sexual advances; and
  - (H) teaches the importance of attaining self-sufficiency before engaging in sexual activity.”
- [www.ssa.gov/OP\\_Home/ssact/title05/0510.htm](http://www.ssa.gov/OP_Home/ssact/title05/0510.htm).
- <sup>46</sup> “2017 Title V State Abstinence Education Program Grant Awards,” Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, [www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/2017-aegp-awards](http://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/2017-aegp-awards).
- <sup>47</sup> Information provided by Aundrea Ogushi, AEGP Coordinator, Nevada Department of Health and Human Services, May 1, 2017.
- <sup>48</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>49</sup> Ibid.