

DEDICATED FEDERAL ABSTINENCE-ONLY-UNTIL-MARRIAGE PROGRAMS FUNDING BY FISCAL YEAR (FY), 1982–2018

(rounded to nearest million)

Funding Stream	1982– 1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	1982– 2018 Totals
AFLA*	\$60 (~\$4/yr)	\$9	\$ 9	\$10	\$10	\$10	\$12	\$12	\$12	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13	\$13										\$209
Title V†			\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$50	\$75	\$75	\$75	\$1,125
CBAE‡						\$20	\$40	\$55	\$ 75	\$105	\$113	\$113	\$113	\$99										\$733
CAE×																	\$ 5	\$5	\$5	\$5				\$20
SRAE*																					\$10	\$15	\$25	\$50
Other Earmark [#]									\$4	\$4			\$1	\$1										\$10
Total	\$60	\$9	\$59	\$60	\$60	\$80	\$102	\$117	\$141	\$172	\$176	\$176	\$177	\$163	\$50	\$50	\$55	\$54.7	\$55	\$55	\$85	\$90	\$100	\$2,147

*Included is only the portion of the Adolescent Family Life Act (AFLA) program dedicated to abstinence-only-until-marriage (AOUM) programs.

† In FYs 1998–2017, the Title V AOUM state-grant program required that programs be consistent with the "A-H" definition of "abstinence education" prescribed in the Social Security Act and that states provide a state-match of three dollars for every four federal dollars received. In FY 2018, the program was renamed the Sexual Risk Avoidance Education program and provided a new A–F definition. The state-match provision is no longer required.

‡ For FYs 2001–2009, the "Community-Based Abstinence Education" (CBAE) included approximately \$4.5 million each year for evaluation.

× The "Competitive Abstinence Education" (CAE) grant program was established within the Consolidated Appropriations Act of FY 2012 and tied to the Social Security Act Title V, Section 510(b), A–H definition.

♦ This discretionary "Sexual Risk Avoidance Education" (SRAE) competitive grant program replaced the CAE grant program through FY 2016 appropriations. While no longer tied to the A–H definition, it is still an AOUM program, defined as "voluntarily refraining from non-marital sexual activity." Further, programs must include the "benefits associated with self-regulation, success sequencing for poverty prevention, healthy relationships, goal setting, and resisting sexual coercion, dating violence, and other youth risk behaviors such as underage drinking or illicit drug use without normalizing teen sexual activity."

In addition to the three historically traditional funding streams, Congress earmarked funds for AOUM programs in some FYs, the majority of which went to Pennsylvania.

Total AOUM Funding, 1982-2018

(in millions)

